

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 37311

STATE OF IDAHO,	)	2010 Unpublished Opinion No. 672
	)	
Plaintiff-Respondent,	)	Filed: October 15, 2010
	)	
v.	)	Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
	)	
SIR DARIUS CRAWFORD,	)	THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
	)	OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.	)	BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
	)	

---

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Thomas F. Neville, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of twenty years, with a minimum period of confinement of five years, for robbery, affirmed.

Molly J. Huskey, State Appellate Public Defender; Justin M. Curtis, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

---

Before LANSING, Chief Judge, GUTIERREZ, Judge  
and MELANSON, Judge

---

PER CURIAM

Pursuant to a plea agreement, Sir Darius Crawford was convicted of robbery, Idaho Code §§ 18-6502; 18-6502, and several other felony charges were dismissed. The district court imposed a unified sentence of twenty years, with a minimum period of confinement of five years, but retained jurisdiction. The Court thereafter suspended the sentence and placed Crawford on probation. Crawford appeals, contending that the sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of a sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. See *State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App.

1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Crawford's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.